

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of)				
)				
Implementation of the Cable)	MM	Docket	No.	92-260
Television Consumer Protection)				
and Competition Act of 1992)				

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE NATIONAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION

The National Telephone Cooperative Association ("NTCA") submits these Reply Comments in response to the comments filed on December 1, 1992 in the above-captioned proceeding. The comments were filed in response to a Notice of Proposed Rule Making, ("NPRM"), FCC 92-500, released by the Commission on November 6, 1992. In that NPRM, the Commission seeks comments on how it should fashion cable home wiring rules required by the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992 ("Act").

NTCA is a national association of approximately 480 small and rural local exchange carriers ("LECs") providing telecommunications services to IXCs and subscribers across rural America. Approximately 150 of NTCA's members operate cable television systems in their telephone service area. Most of them provide service under the rural exemption in 47 C.F.R. § 63.58.

Pub. L. No. 102-385, § 16(d), 102 Stat. ____ (1992).

No. of Copies 122'd 0+5

List A B C QE

DISCUSSION

I. THE COMMISSION SHOULD PROVIDE FOR SUBSCRIBER OWNERSHIP OF CABLE WIRING UPON TERMINATION OF SERVICE.

NTCA has reviewed the initial comments filed in this proceeding and agrees with commentors advocating that the Commission apply to cable operators the same rules that already apply to telephone company inside wiring. The Commission and commentors correctly point out that the legislative history of the Act expresses a congressional intent to "permit ownership of the cable wiring by the homeowner" and to put in place policies that "protect consumers against the imposition of unnecessary charges, for example, for home wiring maintenance." ²

The legislative history also indicates that Congress was aware that subscribers bear additional costs and inconveniences in instances where cable operators remove inside wire after termination of service without giving the subscriber an opportunity to acquire the wiring. The United States Telephone Association ("USTA") has commented that consumer ownership of cable home wiring will avoid these additional costs, wasted work and disruption of replacing wiring when cable service is terminated. In addition, USTA points out that consumer ownership will permit consumers to use their existing cable home wiring to receive competing services and will promote competition for wire

S. Rep. No. 92, 102d Cong., 1st Sess., at 23 (June 28, 1991).

³ <u>Id</u>.

maintenance.⁴ NTCA agrees with USTA's position that consumer ownership will promote competition for services that can be provided by others, including telephone companies. However, with respect to competition for the maintenance of cable home wiring, NTCA supports this concept but believes that the Commission should account for the differences in competition for maintenance services in areas served by small systems and that it should not discourage small systems like NTCA's members operating under the rural exemption from providing maintenance services or from completely recovering the costs of providing those services which cable consumers demand.

Further while NTCA supports consumer ownership upon termination of service, it agrees with Bell Atlantic's position that like telephone companies, but upon termination of service, cable operators should be allowed to amortize any part of the cost of existing wiring that they can show is undepreciated and unrecovered.

II. THE COMMISSION SHOULD NOT IMPOSE INCONSISTENT OBLIGATIONS ON PROVIDERS IF IT PROMULGATES A RULE PROVIDING FOR SUBSCRIBER OWNERSHIP UPON INSTALLATION.

USTA, Bell Atlantic, the NYNEX Telephone Companies, Pacific Bell, and Nevada Bell, and Tele-Communications, Inc. ("TCI")⁶

USTA Comments at 5. All references to parties' comments are to those filed in this docket on December 1, 1992.

See, Detariffing the Installation and Maintenance of Inside Wiring, CC Docket No. 79-105, Second Report and Order at 2, 51 Fed. Reg. 8498 (March 12, 1986).

⁶ USTA at 6; Bell Atlantic at 5; NYNEX at 4; Pacific Bell at 2-3; and TCI at 2.

variously argue that the Commission should not limit its rule in this proceeding to ownership upon termination of service but should also provide for customer ownership and/or control of existing and newly installed home wiring. The NTCA agrees with these parties' statements that customers should be able to choose among video providers and that the customer's control of cable home wiring installed in the future will likely speed the deployment of broadband services such as video dial tone and other competing services at a lower cost to the customer. However, NTCA also urges the Commission to assure that its rules in this proceeding are coordinated with any rate regulations it adopts pursuant to Section 3 of the Act so that small systems are not precluded from fully recovering a reasonable return as well as the costs of materials and labor for newly installed wire.

Section 16(d) of the Act which this NPRM implements does not address ownership upon installation or the Commission's duty to promulgate regulations in that regard. It states:
"Within 120 days after the date of enactment of this subsection, the Commission shall prescribe rules concerning the disposition, after a subscriber to a cable system terminates service, of any cable installed by the cable operator within the premises of such subscriber." The House Report, H. Rep. 628, 102d Cong., 2d Sess., at 118-119 (June 29, 1992) states: "This section [referring to the home wiring provision] does not address matters concerning the cable facilities inside the subscribers's home prior to termination of service. In this regard, the Committee does not intend that cable operators be treated as common carriers with respect to internal cabling installed in subscribers' homes."

Bell Atlantic states that cable operators should be allowed to expense the cost of wiring they install. Bell Comments at 5. TCI is also in favor of allowing operators to fully expense installation costs in the year of installation and believes the Commission should address changes in the rate regulation proceeding.

These small systems with 1,000 or fewer subscribers will be subject to rate regulation but the Commission is required under Section 3(i) to "reduce the administrative burdens and cost of compliance. . . ."

Further, the Commission should not impose inconsistent obligations on these small systems. The Commission recognizes this danger with respect to its obligation to regulate signal leakage and seeks comments on the implications of this additional issue. NPRM at ¶ 6. NTCA urges the Commission to refrain from adopting rules that acknowledge the legal responsibility of operators to prevent leakage but deny them the ability to meaningfully fulfill their obligations either because they have no ownership rights or contractual obligations that give them control over or allow access to installed wire. Further operators should have the right to terminate service to prevent leakage that interferes with the public health or safety. Operators should not be required to continue service over wire that is improperly manufactured, installed or maintained.

CONCLUSION

For the above stated reasons, NTCA urges the Commission to adopt cable home wiring rules that provide for consumer ownership

⁹ H.R. 628, 102d Cong., 2d Sess. at 119 (June 29, 1992) states: "Cable operators continue to have legal responsibility to prevent signal leakages since improper installation or maintenance could threaten safety that operate on critical frequencies. Nothing in this section should be construed to create any right of a subscriber to inside wiring that would frustrate the cable operators' ability to prevent or protect against signal leakage during the period the cable operator is providing service to such provider."

of wiring upon termination of service and allow for the recovery of undepreciated and unrecovered costs. In addition, if the Commission adopts rules providing for consumer ownership of newly installed or existing home wiring, it should assure that its rules allow small systems to recover the costs of materials and labor and a reasonable return. NTCA also urges the Commission to adopt rules which reduce administrative burdens on small systems and which do not subject these systems to inconsistent obligations.

Respectfully submitted,

NATIONAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE

ASSOCIATION

David Cocco

(202) 298-2326

L. Marie Guillory

(202) 298-2359

Its Attorneys

2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

December 15, 1992

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Gail C. Malloy certify that a copy of the foregoing Reply Comments in MM Docket No. 92-260 of the National Telephone Cooperative Association was served on this 15th day of December 1992, by first-class, U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the following persons on the attached list:

Gail C. Malloy

Mr. George Schwartz 549 Fairfield Road East Windsor, NJ 08520

East Windsor, NJ 08520 180 North LaSalle Street Suite 3400 Chicago, Illinois 60601

Baller Hammett, P.C. American Public Power Association 1225 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Rose Helen Perez, Esq. Times Mirror Cable Television, Inc. 2381-2391 Morse Avenue Irvine, California 92714

Paul R. Schwedler, Esq.
Carl Wayne Smith, Esq.
Defense Information Systems Agency
701 S. Courthouse Road
Arlington, VA 22204

William B. Barfield, Esq.
Thompson T. Rawls, II, Esq.
BellSouth Telephone Companies
1155 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 1800
Atlanta, Georgia 30367-6000

Mr. James H. Ewalt, EVP Stephen R. Effros, President Community Antenna Television Association, Inc. P.O. Box 1005 Fairfax, VA 22030

Stuart F. Feldstein, Esq. Fleischman and Walsh, P.C. 1400 16th St., N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

Suzanne Heaton, Esq.
Mr. George A. Hanover, Staff
Vice President Engineering
Consumer Electronics Group Electronic
Industries Association
2001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

John I. Davis, Esq. Donna C. Gregg, Esq. Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

John H. Muehlstein, Esq.

Pedersen & Houpt

Philip L. Verveer, Esq. Sue D. Blumenfeld, Esq. Willkie Farr & Gallagher 1155 21st Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-3302

John P. Cole, Jr., Esq.
Paul Glist, Esq.
Cole, Raywid & Braverman
1919 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20006

Aaron I. Fleischman, Esq. Arthur H. Harding, Esq. Jill Kleppe McClelland, Esq. Fleischman and Walsh, P.C. 1400 16th St., N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

John L. Grow, Esq.
New York State Commission
on Cable Television
Empire State Plaza
Tower Building, 21st Floor
Albany, NY 12223

Mr. James R. Hobson, Vice President Donelan, Cleary, Wood & Maser, PC 1275 K Street, N.W., Suite 850 Washington, D.C. 20005

Mr. Bill R. Unseld, Manager
Product Development
Square D Company
Electrical Disribution Business
3201 Nicholasville Road
Lexington, KY 40503

Frank W. Lloyd, Esq.
Keith A. Barritt, Esq.
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris,
Glovsky & Popeo, P.C.
701 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20004-2608

Michael E. Glover, Esq. Bell Atlantic Tel. Companies 1710 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Norman M. Sinel, Esq.
William E. Cook, Esq.
Robert Alan Garrett, Esq.
Arnold & Porter
1200 New Hampshire Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

James P. Tuthill, Esq.
Nancy C. Woolf, Esq.
Pacific Bell & Nevada Bell
140 New Montgomery Street
Room 1523
San Francisco, CA 94105

Mary McDermott, Esq.
Deborah Haraldson, Esq.
New York Telephone Company
New England Telephone and
Telegraph Company
120 Bloomingdale Road
White Plains, NY 10605

Robert S. Lemle, Esq. Senior Vice President and General Counsel Cablevision Systems Corp. One Media Crossways Woodbury, NY 11797

Mr. Jan Vazzano, Deputy Director City of Seattle Department of Administrative Services 12th Floor Alaska Building 618 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104-2214

James L. Casserly, Esq. Squire, Sanders & Dempsey 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. P.O. Box 407 Washington, D.C. 20044

Martin T. McCue, Esq.
VP & Gen. Counsel
USTA
900 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20006-2105

Ms. Gigi B. Sohn
Mr. Andrew Jay Schwartzman
Media Access Project
2000 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Eileen E. Huggard, Esq.
New York City Department of
Telecommunications and Energy
75 Park Place
Sixth Floor
New York, New York 10007

Jeffrey L. Sheldon, Esq. Utilities Telecommunications Council Suite 1140 1140 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Henry M. Rivera, Esq. Ginsburg, Feldman and Bress 1250 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036

Mr. Peter Luscombe, VP James E. Meyers, Esq. Mark J. Palchick, Esq. B. Jay Baraff, Esq. Baraff, Koerner, Olender & Hochberg 5335 Wisconsin Ave., N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20015-2003

Paul J. Sinderbrand, Esq. Dawn G. Alexander, Esq. Keck, Mahin & Cate 1201 New York Avenue, N.W. Penthouse Washington, D.C. 20005-3919

W. James MacNaughton, Esq. Liberty Cable Company, Inc. 90 Woodbridge Center Drive Suite 610 Woodbridge, NJ 07095 Robert J. Sachs
Senior Vice President for
Corporate and Legal Affairs
Howard B. Homonoff, Esq.
Continental Cablevision, Inc.
Pilot House, Lewis Wharf
Boston, MA 02110

Daniel L. Brenner, Esq. Loretta P. Polk, Esq. NCTA, Inc. 1724 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Terry G. Mahn, Esq. Fish & Richardson 601 Thirteenth, N.W. 5th Floor Washington, D.C. 20005